JIMMY KEEFE Chairman

JEANNETTE M. COUNCIL
Vice Chairman

KENNETH S. EDGE

CHARLES E. EVANS
MARSHALL FAIRCLOTH
BILLY R. KING
EDWARD G. MELVIN



CANDICE WHITE Clerk to the Board

KELLIE BEAM
Deputy Clerk

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

MEMORANDUM

TO: Finance Committee Members (Commissioners Council, Edge, Faircloth)

FROM: Candice H. White, Clerk to the Board

DATE: March 28, 2013

SUBJECT: Finance Committee Meeting – Thursday, April 4, 2013

There will be a regular meeting of the Finance Committee on Thursday, April 4, 2013 at 9:30 AM in Room 564 of the Cumberland County Courthouse located at 117 Dick Street, Fayetteville, NC.

AGENDA

- 1. Approval of Minutes January 3, 2013 Meeting (Pg.2)
- 2. Presentation on Cape Fear Valley Health System's Proposal by Sandra Williams, CFVHS Chief Financial Officer (Pg. 9)
- 3. Discussion of Sales Tax Distribution Options (Pg. 21)
- 4. Review of Monthly Financial Report (Pg. 33)
- 5. Other Matters of Business (Pg. 36)

cc: Board of Commissioners
Administration
Howard Abner, Assistant Finance Director
Tammy Gillis, Senior Internal Auditor
Legal
County Department Head(s)
Sunshine List

CUMBERLAND COUNTY FINANCE COMMITTEE NEW COURTHOUSE, 117 DICK STREET, 5TH FLOOR, ROOM 564 JANUARY 3, 2013 - 9:30AM MINUTES

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Commissioner Marshall Faircloth, Chairman

Commissioner Kenneth Edge

MEMBER ABSENT:

Commissioner Jeannette Council

OTHER COMMISSIONERS

PRESENT:

Commissioner Jimmy Keefe

OTHERS:

James Martin, County Manager

Amy Cannon, Deputy County Manager James Lawson, Assistant County Manager

Rick Moorefield, County Attorney

Howard Abner, Assistant Finance Director Buck Wilson, Public Health Director

Dr. Mark Chandler, Mental Health Medical Director

Candi York, Mental Health Business and Finance Manager

James Silman, Risk Management Director

Jeffrey Brown, Engineering and Infrastructure Director

Mark Browder, Mark III Representative Sally Shutt, Chief Public Information Director

Candice H. White, Clerk to the Board

Press

Commissioner Faircloth called the meeting to order.

ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN

Commissioner Edge nominated Commissioner Faircloth to serve as chairman of the Finance Committee.

MOTION:

Commissioner Edge moved that nominations be closed and that

Commissioner Faircloth be appointed to serve as chairman of the Finance

Committee.

SECOND:

Commissioner Faircloth

VOTE:

UNANIMOUS (2-0)

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – November 1, 2012 Regular Meeting

MOTION:

Commissioner Edge moved to approve the minutes.

SECOND: Commissioner Faircloth VOTE: UNANIMOUS (2-0)

2. UPDATE ON MEDICAL PLAN: FUTURE WELLNESS STRATEGY

James Martin, County Manager, introduced Mark Browder, Mark III Representative. Mr. Martin stated Mr. Browder would make a presentation on the Cumberland County medical plan which would include a future wellness strategy for the county.

Mr. Browder stated during the 2011-2012 fiscal year, claims were up about 11% but based on how the plan was budgeted it was in a break-even position and costs of the healthcare plan were covered. Mr. Browder stated to date the medical plan for the 2012-2013 fiscal year is doing well.

Mr. Browder stated key findings in the 2011-2012 plan experience indicated a risk score of 1.87 compared to the Blue Cross/Blue Shield Book of Business risk score of 1.18. Mr. Browder stated this means the risk of health issues coming forward from the county are significantly higher and there is a health status issue within the county that needs to be addressed. Mr. Browder outlined the top fifteen episodes for claimants within the county and stated about one-third of the county membership has high blood pressure. Mr. Browder stated diabetes is the second highest issue and heart disease is the third highest issue. Mr. Browder stated these top three issues are driven by behavior or how county employees/dependants take care of themselves and consume food. Mr. Browder then reviewed the costs for chronic conditions and prevalence to include hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, obesity and coronary artery disease. Mr. Browder stated for each condition, the risk score for the county exceeded the Blue Cross/Blue Shield Book of Business risk score.

Mr. Browder stated goals for fiscal year 2013-2014 that he has discussed with county staff include improving the health of the county population, improving consumerism and moving towards employee accountability. Mr. Browder reviewed a 20-year snapshot of obesity trends among U.S. adults. Mr. Browder then reviewed healthcare resource consumption percentages and determinants of health to include access to care, genetics, environment and behavior. Mr. Browder stated behavior is the number one driver in healthcare utilization which is at 50% to 75% and behavior can be improved which will have a positive impact on the healthcare plan.

Mr. Browder stated there are no changes proposed in the strategy or employee health improvement initiatives being proposed for the spring of 2013 and the reason it is being continued is to create a baseline for waist circumference, blood pressure, cholesterol ratio and glucose. Mr. Browder reviewed the current base and discounted rate structures.

Mr. Browder outlined accountability strategies or the 2014-2015 incentive plan qualifications and stated to qualify for the discounted rates, employees must not only participate in the biometrics but must also meet three out of four moderate control strategies or alternative methods to qualify as follows:

Risk Factor

Moderate Control

Waist circumference

Abdominal Circumference <40" for males or 35" for females

(or improve by 10%)

Blood pressure

<140/90 mmHg

(or lose 10/5 mmHg)

Cholesterol ratio

5.5

(or improve by 10%)

Glucose

<110mg/dL (fasting)

(no alternative method available to qualify)

Mr. Browder stated the standards are reasonable and attainable within the county's employee population and will provide motivation and leadership so county employees can get to a better place with their health issues. Mr. Browder also stated employees will be given over a year's notice that the standards are coming. Mr. Browder stated there will be employees who will legitimately not meet the standards so there will be an opportunity for employees with significant issues to work with their physicians to set an alternate standard.

Mr. Browder then reviewed the 2012-2013 clinic and pharmacy services and stated as far as consumerism goes, there are opportunities for employees to have lower cost care. Mr. Browder explained this care is not meant to replace that of employees' physicians.

Mr. Browder stated change in healthcare will continue to occur and either employee health status will improve or claims will increase, costs will continue to rise and benefits will erode, and employee and county costs will increase or change. Mr. Browder stated this strategy is not guaranteed to prevent costs from increasing but there are significant health issues within the county's population that need to be addressed.

Mr. Browder responded to questions and discussion followed. Mr. Browder clarified that control of health issues through proper medication would be considered as part of the standard. Amy Cannon, Deputy County Manager, advised a major education campaign would need to begin with employees before moving forward with accountability factors in 2013-2014.

MOTION:

Commissioner Edge moved to accept the future wellness strategy for county

employees as presented.

SECOND:

Commissioner Faircloth

VOTE:

UNANIMOUS (2-0)

Consensus was to forward the matter to the full board as a consent agenda item.

4. APPROVAL OF RATE INCREASE FOR SEWER SERVICE FOR THE NORCRESS SANITARY SEWER DISTRICT

Jeffrey Brown, Engineering and Infrastructure Director, stated after reviewing the operational costs of the NORCRESS sewer system, it has revealed that the present rate of collections for

sewer service is not meeting the costs being incurred by the system for operations and maintenance. Mr. Brown also stated there have been increases by the sewer service provider and electrical providers and at the present rate, there is not any revenue generated to set aside as a capital reserve fund to pay for replacement equipment or needed repairs.

Mr. Brown stated there was a meeting of the NORCRESS Advisory Board to discuss this issue and to make a recommendation to increase the rates, and although the members of the advisory board do not wish to see the rates increase in these times of economic stress, they understood the necessity of the rate increase.

Mr. Brown stated the rates were last increased in 2010 in which the increase raised the rate on sewer service to \$5.50 per 1,000 gallons. Mr. Brown also stated the NORCRESS Advisory Board suggested the following proposed rate increases at is November 29th meeting:

Rate Description	Current Rate	Proposed Rate
Residential Customers	\$5.50/thousand gallons	\$6.50/thousand gallons
Commercial Customers	\$5.50/thousand gallons	\$7.00/thousand gallons
Lift Station Maintenance Fee Residential	\$1.00/month	\$2.00/month
Lift Station Maintenance Fee Commercial	\$0.50/thousand gallons	\$1.00/thousand gallons

Mr. Brown stated the flat rate customers have not had an increase in usage since the system went online in 2006 and a proposed rate increase to the flat rate customers of \$5.00 per month would make the new rate \$31.42. Mr. Brown stated this increase is necessary due to the high cost of treating the force mains to prevent damage and odor problems as well as to begin to establish a capital reserve for future needs. Mr. Brown also stated the average monthly usage throughout this system is 4,500 gallons per residential user which equates to an average increase of \$5.50 per month to the individual customer for sewer service. Mr. Brown provided spreadsheets showing the monthly costs associated with the operation of the system as well as revenue requirements to meet the costs. Mr. Brown stated the line also needs about \$300,000 in improvements.

Mr. Brown stated the NORCRESS Advisory Board, county management and he recommend the following to the Finance Committee for forward to the full Board with an implementation date of March 1, 2013 in order to allow time to notify customers:

- 1. NORCRESS increase its sewer usage rate to \$6.50 per 1,000 gallons for residential service and \$7.00 per 1,000 gallons for commercial service.
- 2. NORCRESS increase the Lift Station Maintenance Fee to \$2.00 per month per residential customer and \$1.00 per 1,000 gallons for commercial customer.
- 3. NORCRESS increase its flat rate sewer user's rate to \$31.42 per month.

Mr. Brown responded to questions and a brief discussion followed regarding the rate increase to commercial customers.

MOTION:

Commissioner Edge moved to approve.

SECOND:

Commissioner Faircloth

VOTE:

UNANIMOUS (2-0)

5. REPORT BY ON MENTAL HEALTH CLINIC SERVICES

Buck Wilson, Public Health Director, distributed a brochure with a list of core mental health services for adults and children/adolescents. Mr. Wilson asked the committee to keep in mind that the clinic was down a few licensed clinicians and a child psychiatrist. Mr. Wilson stated there is only one child physician assistant until the child psychiatrist position is filled.

Mr. Wilson noted the report provided to the Finance Committee contained updates to the report presented to the full board on December 17, 2012 based on questions regarding medical leave and zeros that threw off the numbers. Mr. Wilson stated a new column was added to the productivity report for full time staff to show the percentage of billable hours. Mr. Wilson stated staff continue to strive for seven out of eight hours per day of direct patient contact and work continues on no-shows. Mr. Wilson stated 21% is a low number for full time staff no-shows and is down from the initial 30%. Mr. Wilson stated staff are still working on some of the non-billable reports. Mr. Wilson stated this is a safety net program providing a lot of services for indigent care and therefore there is a focus on non-billable as well as billable.

Mr. Wilson stated the productivity report for other/exceptions was created for positions that are not able to bill the full amount of time they are providing services. Mr. Wilson reported efforts to fill the court psychologist position are moving along, the RN position only works nineteen hours, the medical director's production has tripled due to the absence of two other medical doctors, and the part time physician assistant only works 32 hours per week. Mr. Wilson noted there would have to be a contract with a child psychiatrist in order for the physician assistant to continue to provide services and should the physician assistant no longer be employed, the clinic would be out of business until the child psychiatrist position could be filled. Mr. Wilson responded to questions. Mr. Wilson stated the clinician positions that are known to be going out have not yet been posted so a decision can be made about whether to fill them and the services the positions would provide. Mr. Wilson stated the two child psychiatrist positions have been posted.

Commissioner Keefe asked Mr. Wilson to provide graphs as part of the committee and full board productivity reports. Commissioner Keefe asked that the data show personnel productivity, clients being served and no-shows, and that areas in need of help still be included. Commissioner Faircloth requested data to show trends for problematic areas. Mr. Wilson stated he would like to include a comparison of this year and last year's numbers because better efficiency is one of his goals.

Mr. Wilson stated the patient assistance program, which is comprised of a part time registered pharmacist and a full time patient assistance program coordinator, gives out over \$1 million in wholesale value per year to citizens of Cumberland County at a very limited cost to the county. Mr. Wilson stated some of the members of the community only have access to the following drugs through the patient assistant program.

Anti-psychotics:

Abilify \$2,000-\$3,000/90 days Geodon \$2,000-\$2,500/90 days Risperdal \$2,000-\$3,000/90 day

Seroquel \$3,000/90 days

Anti-depressants:

Cymbalta \$600-\$700/90 days Prozac \$1,200-\$2,400/90 days

Wellbutrin \$2,500/90 days

Trileptal \$1,500-\$3,000/90 days

*used for Anxiety

6. REVIEW OF MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT

Howard Abner, Assistant Finance Director, reported expenditures for year-to-date obligations are 38.2% of budget and this spending rate is slightly above the FY2012 spending level of 37.82%. Mr. Abner stated most departments are spending at levels similar to last year. Mr. Abner noted although \$533,000 was budgeted as start-up for the MCO in November, there were no expenditures recorded for November.

With regard to revenue, Mr. Abner stated ad valorem taxes are ahead of last year but a lot of the increase is just the timing of payments and it will take another two months to get a good trend. Mr. Abner reported sales tax distributions are above last year by about \$800,000 for the first two months but most of the difference is the result of refunds in FY2012 to tax exempt organizations that have not occurred so far this year. Mr. Abner stated overall the amount of revenue coming in to the county is about \$200,000 greater than last year for the first two months and it is a matter of refunds and how the taxes get redistributed. Mr. Abner reported the Mental Health Clinic revenue recognized is only 4% of budget versus spending over 39% and this continues to be a worrisome area. Mr. Abner noted the Animal Services department brought in about \$20,000 more than this time last year however the budget has almost doubled; 56% of the budget has been collected versus 86% last year with the big difference being the increase in the budget. Commissioner Edge requested collections data from other single county authorities to use as a comparison to Cumberland County. Mr. Abner noted the data would be historical rather than current.

With regard to the Crown Coliseum, Mr. Abner reported net results of operations show a \$50K greater deficit than this time last year which is a relatively small amount. Mr. Abner stated the total operating deficit is \$1.6 M which is off-set by a \$1.4M non-operating gain. Mr. Abner stated the total net deficit is \$138.8K. Commissioner Keefe posed questions about the food and beverage tax

amounts and requested details on food and beverage collections. Mr. Abner and Ms. Cannon responded to questions.

7. OTHER MATTERS OF BUSINESS

Commissioner Faircloth, Commissioner Keefe and Commissioner Edge concurred that the sales tax allocation plan should be discussed during the planning retreat and that mental health merger should also be discussed. Discussion turned to the finance officer position. Commissioner Keefe stated he would like for the finance committee to provide input. Commissioner Edge stated although there is no problem with the finance committee providing input, the position of the finance officer cannot operate solo or be separated from county administration. Commissioner Keefe also asked that school funding also be included during the planning retreat.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 10:55 a.m.

JAMES E. MARTIN County Manager

AMY H. CANNON Deputy County Manager



JAMES E. LAWSON
Assistant County Manager

OFFICE OF THE COUNTY MANAGER

MEMORANDUM FOR APRIL 4, 2013 FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING

TO:

FINANCE COMMITTEE

FROM:

JAMES E. MARTIN, COUNTY MANAGER

DATE:

MARCH 26, 2013

SUBJECT:

CAPE FEAR VALLEY HEALTH SYSTEM PROPOSAL

Requested By:

Chairman Keefe

Presenter(s):

Sandra Williams, CFO-Cape Fear Valley Health System

Estimate of Committee Time Needed:

20 Minutes

BACKGROUND:

Attached please find a proposal from Mr. Mike Nagowski, CEO, Cape Fear Valley Health System received March 20, 2013.

This item is listed for discussion at the April 4, 2013 Finance Committee Meeting.

/ct

CM032613-1

Cumberland County Service Proposal

- Morgue
- EMS Body Transports
- The Crisis Intervention Drop-In Center
- 23 Hour Crisis Bed Unit (Pilot)
- Roxie Detoxification Services

Morgue

- Current morgue capacity is eight units and is undersized to handle the growing demand.
- 2. Bodies for Cumberland County account for slightly more than half of the housed population.
- 3. CFVHS could continue to provide morgue services to the County or the County could construct their own free standing morgue.
- The proposal is to relocate the existing morgue including expansion and renovation in order to continue to provide morgue services to the County.
- 5. "I would encourage you to.....establish a county morgue for all deaths"

 Letter to county managers from NC Chief Medical Examiner Deborah L. Radisch dated December 15, 2011

Morgue

Cape Fear Valley Health System		County Option 1	County Option 2
	CFVHS Expanded Morgue	County Portion of CFVHS Expanded Morgue	Stand Alone County Morgue
Construction Cost	\$ 1,500,595	\$ 804,319	\$ 8,000,000
Direct Operating Expense			
Salaries and Benefits	\$ 340,860	\$ 156,456	\$ 340,860
Electricity	\$ 14,000	\$ 7,504	\$ 7,504
Total Direct Operating Expense	\$ 354,860	\$ 163,960	\$ 348,364

EMS - Body Transports

- Approximately 400 deaths per year are transported and stored in the Morgue at CFVHS.
- Such transports attribute to \$60,000 in annual cost to CFVHS.
- NCGS 130A-377 to 130A-395 Highlights
 - •Statewide medical examiner system investigates deaths that are unattended, suspicious, or result of violence.
 - Each county shall provide or contract for an appropriate facility for the examination and storage of these bodies under Medical Examiner jurisdiction.
 - •After county medical examiner has assumed jurisdiction of decedent, authorized state transporters may be contacted to move a body.
- Proposal County assume responsibility for body transports according to state regulations.



Specialty Psychiatric Service Proposal

The Crisis Intervention Drop-In Center – Absorb services currently provided by Cumberland County Mental Health Clinic

2) 23 Hour Crisis Beds – Introduce a new point of entry for crisis services (currently in use by Wake and Durham counties) to reduce the use of law enforcement, fire, and reliance on the Emergency Department.

3) Roxie - Continue providing detoxification services at Roxie.

16 Bels Delot on 1st floor

Specialty Psychiatric Service Proposal

Crisis Intervention Drop-In Center

- The Crisis Intervention Drop-In Center will redesign the current Cumberland County Mental Health Center into a modern Crisis Intervention Center designed to triage patients into the appropriate level of psychiatric care.
- Increase the availability and scope of mental health services currently available for the citizens of Cumberland County.
- Provide significant value added services and efficiencies to the current mental health system in Cumberland County.

Specialty Psychiatric Service Proposal

- Crisis Intervention Drop-In Center By absorbing the Cumberland County Mental Health Clinic we will be able to achieve the following:
- Provide Mental Health Clinic Services to patients 7 days a week. Clinic will initially be open 16 hours per day with the expectation of expansion to 24 hour operations.
- 2. Current Cumberland County MHC services will relocate to the Roxie Center becoming the Crisis Drop-In Center.
- 3. Increase the 13k annual visits currently seen at Cumberland County MHC by approximately 40% through expansion of coverage hours, increased provider productivity, and increased staff productivity through redesigned models of care.
- 4. Redirecting Law Enforcement from the ED Psych to the Crisis Drop-In Center will improve their efficiency.

Specialty Psychiatric Service Proposal

Capital Start-Up	F	MHC Y13 Bud	isis Center Proposed FY 2013 150,000
Volume - Visits		13,100	18,000
Expenses			
Salary Benefits Other Expenses	\$	2,475,045 517,314 433,250	\$ 2,846,035 711,509 352,650
Total Expenses	\$	3,425,609	\$ 3,910,194
County Subsidy	\$	3,425,609	\$ 3,425,609

Specialty Psychiatric Service Proposal

23 Hour Crisis Bed Unit Pilot

 Introduce a new line of business to help reduce the use of law enforcement, fire, and other psychiatric services. This 8 Bed holding unit will provide immediate intervention for individuals with an acute psychiatric issue. This facility provides a lower level option for patients as a cost effective alternative to utilizing Law Enforcement/Jail and the ED.

Specialty Psychiatric Service Proposal

- 23 Hour Crisis Beds Introduce a new point of entry for crisis services (currently in use by Wake and Durham counties) to reduce the use of law enforcement, fire, and reliance on the Emergency Department.
- 1. Eight Bed holding unit providing immediate intervention for individuals with an acute psychiatric issue.
- Provides lower level option for patients as a cost effective alternative to utilizing ED.
- 3. Will require \$100,000 in start-up capital and \$1Million per year for operations.
- Additional savings realized for Law/Fire/Jail:
 Based on claims with a primary discharge diagnosis of mental health/substance abuse, Durham County realized an annual cost savings of \$410,000 from just the top 25 utilizers.
 - \$230,000 from County Jail
 - \$180,000 from Law/Fire

Specialty Psychiatric Service Proposal

Roxie Center

- •The Roxie Center provides a medically supervised, sub-acute (non-hospital based) program comprising a 16 bed unit treating individuals at risk for withdrawal from alcohol and or opiates and various narcotics.
- LME physicians had been providing medical management of patients but abruptly withdrew their services effective September, 2013.
- •CFVHS physicians agreed to provide medical management but had to limit beds due to large existing caseloads and a productivity rate already exceeding 80%.
- •Lower census has resulted in reduced revenues resulting in a net loss in excess of \$250,000 during the last four months of operation, in spite of a \$350,000 stop-loss contract provision.

JAMES E. MARTIN County Manager

AMY H. CANNON Deputy County Manager



JAMES E. LAWSON
Assistant County Manager

OFFICE OF THE COUNTY MANAGER

MEMO FOR THE AGENDA OF THE APRIL 4, 2013 MEETING OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

TO:

FINANCE COMMITTEE

FROM:

AMY H. CANNON, DEPUTY COUNTY MANAGER AMY Cauron

DATE:

MARCH 27, 2013

SUBJECT:

SALES TAX DISTRIBUTION OPTIONS

Requested by:

Chairman Keefe

Presenter:

Amy Cannon/Howard Abner

Estimate of Committee Time Needed: 20 minutes

BACKGROUND

Sales tax is distributed to local governments either on a "per capita" or "ad valorem" basis. The county has statutory authority to determine the method of distribution on an annual basis. In October of 2003, the county and municipalities entered into an interlocal agreement to distribute sales tax revenues on a modified "per capita" basis. This agreement expires on June 30, 2013. Municipal representatives have discussed potential options through Mayor's Coalition Meetings called specifically for that purpose.

Attached you will find spreadsheets indicating various options regarding the distribution of sales tax for your consideration.

- Option 1 Per Capita Current Agreement (expires June 30, 2013)
- Option 2 Per Capita Current Agreement Excluding Eastover
- Option 3 Ad Valorem Method
- Option 4 Ad Valorem Method with County Districts Revenue Neutral
- Option 5 20-Year Transition Agreement from Modified Per Capita to Standard Per Capita.

At the last Mayor's Coalition Meeting on March 20, 2013, a proposal was submitted which provides for transition from the modified "per capita" method to a standard "per capita" method, which is Option 5 above. This proposal calls for an initial four-year term, with four optional renewals, which provides for up to a 20-year agreement. In year one of the agreement, each municipality reimburses the county and the remaining municipalities 100% of the percentage reimbursed in 2013, for annexations occurring on or before June 30, 2012. However, Eastover will no longer reimburse for their initial incorporation. Thereafter, this percentage will be reduced annually in equal decrements of 5% over the remaining number of years in the agreement. Based on this proposal from the municipalities, the county's reimbursement will be reduced \$318,787 annually, based upon the FY13 base year percentages. Further, our reimbursements will be reduced by another \$164,588, which represents Eastover's prior reimbursement.

RECOMMENDATION/PROPOSED ACTION:

Consider the sales tax distribution methods presented and recommend an option for Board of Commissioner consideration on April 15, 2013.

Option #1 - Per Capita Current Agreement

		Per Capita	Fayetteville Payments		100	Eastover Payments		oring Lake	1983	odwin yments	Но	old Harmless Schools		Net
Ania inspiration			L		2000		L	u.u.scali			L	V = US	_	
General Fund	\$	28,409,666	\$	6,199,978	\$	164,588	\$	301,441	\$	2,081	\$	1,185,220	\$	36,262,97
Schools	Ė	8,479,847		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<u> </u>		r			-,	r	(1,185,220)	Ť	7,294,62
Cumberland Total		36,889,513	F	6,199,978		164,588		301,441	F	2,081				43,557,60
Eastover	\$	579,729	\$		\$	(255,574)	\$	2	\$				\$	324,15
Falcon*	Ť	49,692	Ť	3,474	Ť	175	Ť	182	-	2			Ť	53,52
Fayetteville		33,283,642	(6,350,503)		80,973		13,348		-				27,027,46
Fayetteville CBTD		-		-		-		-		-				
Godwin		22,212		66		38		14		(2,182)				20,14
Hope Mills		2,425,035		133,825		6,730		7,496		89				2,573,17
Hope Mills Recreation				-		-		-		-		3		
Linden		20,771		1,467		75		79		1				22,39
Spring Lake		1,827,401				2,339		(323,162)		-				1,506,57
Stedman		164,270		7,398		364		264		5				172,30
Wade		88,849		4,295		292		336		4	L			93,77
Total	\$	38,461,600	\$ (6,199,978)	\$	(164,588)	\$	(301,441)	\$	(2,081)			\$	31,793,51
Total	\$	75,351,112	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- 1	\$	- 1	\$	75,351,11

Option #2 - Per Capita Excluding Eastover

	A PROPERTY OF	Based on	Y 2012	Actual Sales	ax Di	stributions	in initialities illeri		Sin	
	Per Capita	Fayetteville Payments		pring Lake Payments		Godwin ayments	Hold Harmless Schools	Net		astover ayments
		A TEN TEN MAIN		out factor		VIETO MED				
General Fund	\$ 28,409,666	\$ 6,199,978	\$	301,441	\$	2,081	\$ 1,185,220	\$ 36,098,386	\$	(164,588
Schools	8,479,847						(1,185,220)	7,294,627		
Cumberland Total	36,889,513	6,199,978		301,441	L	2,081	-	43,393,013		(164,588
Eastover	\$ 579,729	\$ -	\$	2	\$	-		\$ 579,731	\$	255,574
Falcon*	49,692	3,474		182		2		53,350		(17
Fayetteville	33,283,642	(6,350,503)		13,348		-		26,946,487		(80,973
Fayetteville CBTD	-			-		100		-		
Godwin	22,212	66		14		(2,182)	14	20,110		(38
Hope Mills	2,425,035	133,825		7,496		89		2,566,445		(6,73
Hope Mills Recreation	-	-		-		-				
Linden	20,771	1,467		79		1		22,318		(75
Spring Lake	1,827,401	-		(323,162)		-		1,504,239		(2,339
Stedman	164,270	7,398		264		5		171,937		(364
Wade	88,849	4,295		336		4		93,484		(292
Total	\$ 38,461,600	\$ (6,199,978)	\$	(301,441)	\$	(2,081)		\$ 31,958,100	\$	164,588
Total	\$ 75,351,112	\$ -	\$	-]	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 75,351,112	\$	

Option #3 - Ad Valorem

BANG TANK TANK	A CONTRACT OF THE	Based on F	Y 2012 Actual Sales	Tax Distributions	F BELLEVASIN		
	Change to Ad Valorem Distribution	Fayetteville Payments	Eastover Payments	Spring Lake Payments	Godwin Payments	Hold Harmless Schools	Net Change
General Fund	\$ 7,470,693	\$ (6,199,978)	\$ (164,588)	\$ (301,441)	\$ (2,081)	\$ 1,185,220	\$ 1,987,825
Schools	1,367,882					(1,185,220)	182,662
Cumberland Total	8,838,576	(6,199,978)	(164,588)	(301,441)	(2,081)	-	2,170,488
Recreation	1,104,458						1,104,458
Special Fire Districts	264,037						264,037
Fire District	2,159,137						2,159,137
Total County	\$ 12,366,207	\$ (6,199,978)	\$ (164,588)	\$ (301,441)	\$ (2,081)		\$ 5,698,119
Eastover	\$ (354,553)	\$ -	\$ 255,574	\$ (2)	\$ -		\$ (98,981
Falcon*	(40,956)	(3,474)	(175)	(182)	(2)		(44,789
Fayetteville	(10,404,691)	6,350,503	(80,973)	(13,348)	-		(4,148,509
Fayetteville CBTD	52,863		-	-	-		52,863
Godwin	(10,933)	(66)	(38)	(14)	2,182		(8,869
Hope Mills	(856,102)	(133,825)	(6,730)	(7,496)	(89)	×.	(1,004,242
Hope Mills Recreation	186,778	-	-		-		186,778
Linden	(15,475)	(1,467)	(75)	(79)	(1)		(17,097
Spring Lake	(800,986)	-	(2,339)	323,162	-		(480,163
Stedman	(63,758)	(7,398)	(364)	(264)	(5)		(71,789
Wade	(58,395)	(4,295)	(292)	(336)	(4)		(63,322
Total	\$ (12,366,207)	\$ 6,199,978	\$ 164,588	\$ 301,441	\$ 2,081		\$ (5,698,119
Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Option #4 - Ad Valorem with County Districts Revenue Neutral

		Based on F	Y 2012 Actual Sales T	ax Distributions			
	Change to Ad Valorem Distribution	Fayetteville Payments	Eastover Payments	Spring Lake Payments	Godwin Payments	Hold Harmless Schools	Net Change
General Fund	\$ 7,996,939	\$ (6,199,978)	\$ (164,588)	\$ (301,441)	\$ (2,081)	\$ 1,185,220	\$ 2,514,071
Schools	1,480,750					(1,185,220)	295,530
Cumberland Total	9,477,689	(6,199,978)	(164,588)	(301,441)	(2,081)		2,809,601
Recreation	826,534						826,534
Special Fire Districts	197,593					2,639,940	197,593
Fire District	1,615,813						1,615,813
Total County	\$ 12,117,629	\$ (6,199,978)	\$ (164,588)	\$ (301,441)	\$ (2,081)		\$ 5,449,541
Eastover	\$ (352,408)	\$ -	\$ 255,574	\$ (2)	\$ -		\$ (96,836)
Falcon*	(40,873)	(3,474)	(175)	(182)	(2)		(44,706)
Fayetteville	(10,186,752)	6,350,503	(80,973)	(13,348)			(3,930,570)
Fayetteville CBTD	53,366	-	-	-			53,366
Godwin	(10,825)	(66)	(38)	(14)	2,182		(8,761)
Hope Mills	(841,156)	(133,825)	(6,730)	(7,496)	(89)		(989,296)
Hope Mills Recreation	188,557	-			-		188,557
Linden	(15,425)	(1,467)	(75)	(79)	(1)		(17,047)
Spring Lake	(791,209)	-	(2,339)	323,162	-	- 0	(470,386)
Stedman	(62,800)	(7,398)	(364)	(264)	(5)		(70,831)
Wade	(58,104)	(4,295)	(292)	(336)	(4)		(63,031)
Total	\$ (12,117,629)	\$ 6,199,978	\$ 164,588	\$ 301,441	\$ 2,081		\$ (5,449,541)
Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Option #5 - Amoritization of Sales Tax Hold Harmless Payments

\$ 75,351,112	FY2013 Total Reimbusement %	Annual % Change	\$ C	Change Per Year	(1)	Year 1 Io Change)		Year 2		Year 5		Year 10		Year 15	,	Year 20	9	Year 21
						100%		95%		80%		55%		30%		5%		0%
Fayetteville Payements To:																		
Cumberland County	8.050048%	-0.402502%	\$	(303,290)	\$	6,065,801	\$	5,762,511	\$	4,852,641	\$	3,336,190	\$	1,819,740	\$	303,290	\$	-
Eastover	0.016463%	-0.000823%	\$	(620)	\$	12,405	\$	11,785	\$	9,924	\$	6,823	\$	3,722		620	\$	
Falcon	0.004611%	-0.000231%	\$	(174)	\$	3,474	\$	3,301	\$	2,780	\$	1,911	\$	1,042	\$	174	\$	390
Godwin	0.000088%	-0.000004%	\$	(3)	\$	66	\$	63	\$	53	\$	36	\$	20	\$	3	\$	3 4 3
Hope Mills	0.177614%	-0.008881%	\$	(6,692)	\$	133,834	\$	127,142	\$	107,067	\$	73,609	\$	40,150	\$	6,692	\$	570
Linden	0.001947%	-0.000097%	\$	(73)	\$	1,467	\$	1,394	\$	1,174	\$	807	\$	440	\$	73	\$	•
Spring Lake	0.000009%	0.000000%	\$	(0)	\$	7	\$	6	\$	5	\$	4	\$	2	\$	0	\$	-
Stedman	0.009817%	-0.000491%	\$	(370)	\$	7,397	\$	7,027	\$	5,918	\$	4,068	\$	2,219	\$	370	\$	
Wade	0.005700%	-0.000285%	\$	(215)	\$	4,295	\$	4,080	\$	3,436	\$	2,362	\$	1,289	\$	215	\$:23
Spring Lake Payments To:																		
Cumberland County	0.408552%	-0.020428%	\$	(15,392)	\$	307,848	\$	292,456	\$	246,279	\$	169,317	\$	92,355	\$	15,392	\$	-
Eastover	0.002779%	-0.000139%	\$	(105)	\$	2,094	\$	1,989	\$	1,675	\$	1,152	\$	628	\$	105	\$	S * S
Falcon	0.000244%	-0.000012%	\$	(9)	\$	184	\$	175	\$	147	\$	101	\$	55	\$	9	\$	(-)
Fayetteville	0.018808%	-0.000940%	\$	(709)	\$	14,172	\$	13,463	\$	11,338	\$	7,795	\$	4,252	\$	709	\$	-
Godwin	0.000019%	-0.000001%	\$	(1)	\$	14	\$	14	\$	11	\$	8	\$	4	\$	1	\$	-
Hope Mills	0.010029%	-0.000501%	\$	(378)	\$	7,557	\$	7,179	\$	6,046	\$	4,156	\$	2,267	\$	378	\$	-
Linden	0.000106%	-0.000005%	\$	(4)	\$	80	\$	76	\$		\$	44	200	24	\$	4	\$	120
Stedman	0.000356%	-0.000018%	\$	(13)	\$	268	\$	255	\$		\$	148	\$	80	\$	13	\$	
Wade	0.000449%	-0.000022%	\$	(17)	\$	338	\$		- 550		355	186	5,990,0	101	7.00	17	\$	-
Godwin Payments To:																		
Cumberland County	0.002762%	-0.000138%	\$	(104)	\$	2,081	\$	1,977	Ś	1,665	\$	1,145	Ś	624	\$	104	\$	(*
Eastover	0.000033%	-0.000002%	\$	(1)	\$	25	\$	24	\$		\$	14	\$	7	\$	1	\$	-
Falcon	0.000003%	0.000000%	\$	(0)	\$	2	\$	2	\$		\$	1	\$	1	\$	0	\$	-
Fayetteville	0.000000%	0.000000%	\$	72	Ś	21	\$	v -	Ś		\$		\$		\$	_	\$	(2)
Hope Mills	0.000118%	-0.000006%	\$	(4)	\$	89	Ś	84	Ś		\$	49	\$	27	\$	4	Ś	12
Linden	0.00001%	0.000000%	\$	(0)	\$	1	\$	1	Ś		\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	-
Spring Lake	0.000000%	0.000000%	\$	(0)	Ś	2.7	Ś		\$		\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	\$	525
Stedman	0.000007%	0.000000%	Ś	(0)	Ś	5	Ś	5	\$		\$	3	\$	2	\$	0	\$	
Wade	0.000005%	0.000000%	\$	(0)	\$	4	\$	4	\$		\$	2	\$	1	\$	0	\$	-
Totals							_		_									
Cumberland County	8.461362%	-0.423068%		(318,787)	\$	6,375,730	\$	6,056,944	\$	5,100,584	Ś	3,506,652	\$	1,912,719	\$	318,787	\$	
Eastover	0.019275%	-0.000964%		(726)	\$	14,524	\$	13,798	\$		\$	7,988	\$	4,357		726	5.7	9577 U28
Falcon	0.004858%	-0.000304%		(183)	\$	3,661		3,478			1300	2,013		1,098	\$	183	\$	2
Fayetteville	0.018808%	-0.000243%		(709)	Š	14,172		13,463				7,795		4,252		709	0.000	
Hope Mills	0.187761%	-0.009388%		(7,074)	\$	141,480		134,406						42,444		7,074		-
Linden	0.002054%	-0.000103%		(7,074)	ç	1,548	100	1,470						464		7,074		
Spring Lake	0.002034%	0.000000%			ç		\$	1,470			\$		13.35		\$	0	\$	-
Stedman	0.010180%	-0.000509%		(0)	\$				- 32		36		1070	2,301			. 53	-
Steuman	0.010180%	-0.000309%		(384)	\$	7,671 4,637		7,287 4,405					\$	1,391		384	Þ	-

Cumberland County Mayor's Coalition Special Meeting Host - Town of Hope Mills

March 20, 2013

MINUTES

10:14 a.m.

The Cumberland County Mayor's Coalition held a special meeting in Hope Mills, North Carolina with Mayor Chris Rey presiding.

Coalition Members Present: Mayor Huell Aekins

Mayor Tony Chavonne

Mayor Billy Horne

Mayor Charles McLaurin Mayor Jackie Warner

Mayor Marie Butler

Mayor Deborah Godwin Commmissioner Jimmy Keefe

Mayor Clifton Turpin

Others Present:

Tommy Burns, Spring Lake Kim Nazarchyk, Eastover Greg Phillips, Fayetteville Observer Rhonda Webb, Spring Lake Jennifer Wilson-Kersh, Stedman

John Ellis, Hope Mills Sara Piland, Eastover Lisa Smith, Fayetteville Belinda White, Falcon Ted Voorhees, Fayetteville

Call Meeting to Order.

Mayor Rey called the meeting to order.

Welcoming Remarks and Invocation.

Mayor Rey welcomed everyone and gave the invocation.

Workshop/Discussion Regarding the Cumberland County Sales Tax Distribution

Mayor Rey advised this meeting is to discuss further the sales tax distribution. He stated the last time the Coalition met the County proposed to extend the current agreement for three years and had requested feedback from the municipalities. That proposal was not what most of the municipalities wanted to do. After that meeting, the municipalities all agreed to a one year extension with the exception of the Town of Eastover who would be harmed the most by the current agreement extension.

Mayor Rey opened the floor for comments on the one year agreement.

Mayor McLaurin stated the current agreement puts a severe strain on their small population because they pay on 100% of their population. Mayor Rey inquired if there is any "wiggle room" for them if adjustments could be made and Mayor McLaurin stated he believes there would be but would have to take it to the Council. Mr. Voorhees stated the intent of the one year extension is to call a "time out" and set in motion negotiations. He stated he would be willing to ask Fayetteville's Council to return the \$80,000 that Eastover is paying them during the one year extension period to help lighten the burden for Eastover. He stated we are all looking for a win-win situation during this negotiation time period.

Mayor's Coalition Minutes March 20, 2013, Page 2 of 5

Mayor Godwin wanted to go on record as stating their monetary contribution is insignificant and that Godwin's annexation of 30 people was voluntary. They were not a part of the "big bang" and were not aggressively taking in citizens. She feels they are being penalized for trying to be good neighbors and helping make the quality of life better for the people who wanted to annex. Godwin considers any penalty a hardship since it has installed street lights in the annexed are and pays a light bill monthly. Godwin has no source of revenues other than its ad valorem taxes, sales tax distribution, and a small water system that will require repairs over the next 20 years. The proposed one year extension is the lesser of the evils.

Mayor Aekins stated that he likes where they are currently with the sales tax and he likes the services that are being rendered. For most of the small municipalities the amount is small. He would like to see use all stay in this together. If Fayetteville is willing to give back to help Eastover, the County may be willing to help Eastover as well. He said when he agreed to the one year, he expected we would meet and determine what would be in the future. He said whichever we decide whether it is one year or three year, we need to determine what we will do and let it be settled and begin negotiations to find common ground. He said three years is not really bad and not a long time. He asked for someone to explain the Eastover agreement.

Mr. Nazarchyk replied Eastover is paying based on 100% of their population instead of the number of people annexed.

Mayor Warner said one year is a short time period and she believes the ad valorem tax will really hurt the towns. She is prepared to go with the three year time frame but expects at the end of that time there will be a proposal that ends what we are currently doing and have a new plan in place. She also stated that she wants to be united with the other Mayors and her Board is behind her.

Mayor Horne said Stedman does not annex unless someone requests it. They do not do involuntary annexations. He stated a three year period is very reasonable. He believes it needs to be worked out so that at the end of the three year period everyone knows what to expect.

Mayor McLaurin stated Eastover's position is same as Stedman's. They do not do any involuntary annexations.

Mayor Chavonne asked what guarantee do we have that in three years we will not be sitting here kicking the can down the road again. He expressed concern about when we will resolve this issue and get the antiquated system based on annexation behind us. This system is really not fair, not one person in this room voted for any involuntary annexation much less the "big bang" and we don't need to have our municipalities penalized by something that was agreed to 8 years ago and had a termination date. He inquired what is wrong with us sitting down and agreeing to some negotiated settlement. He said if the County wants to extend it for three years, then we agree today that it ends in three years and does not convert to advalorem at that time. Let's at least move the ball and resolve it.

Mayor Warner said all these agreements were made prior to her becoming Mayor and she

Mayor a Coalition Minutes Much 20, 2013, Page 3 of 5

now is trying to reason through. Also, this group's meetings have been more of a social time rather than there being conversations about our issues. I think we probably all have ideas we can bring to the table and be a unit that would listen. My understanding is that this agreement was just handed to the Mayors 8 years ago and there wasn't a lot of discussion. She agrees that when it ends, it ends and we will all know what will happen at the end. The education part is what we all need.

Mayor Chavonne said that if what Mayor Warner is proposing is that in three years this agreement goes away and the reallocations of money goes away and the County agrees not to convert to ad valorem then he believes that is something everyone could support because that puts a definite end to this. If we agree that at the end of three years we revisit this, we will be right back where we are today.

Commissioner Keefe said he believes Mayor Chavonne is overstepping his bounds a little by directing the County what they can do. There is no support for that and 8 out of the top ten populated counties in North Carolina are at ad valorem right now. We are comfortable with where we are right now. We all know that we cannot obligate future councils to these things. That is not on the table as far as the County is concerned and stated he is speaking for himself but believes he has a good feel for where the County is based on our conversations. He stated that every citizen in every town and municipality and the members sitting at this table are also a County residents. We are trying to make this a seamless flow through as best we can. There was an opportunity three years ago when the former City Manager was here to bring this up and he gave every indication to our County Manager that he was fine with it. But, the City has had a leadership change. I shudder to think what would have happened if I had not sent out that first letter. That was the first time this was brought up. We had briefings but there was never any real indication that they wanted this to do away. He stated what he was asking as a compromise to go through on this was that in the time of one year you only have one budget and there are going to be winners and losers in this, whether it goes to ad valorem or no redistribution to the County. If you only have one budget that would mean people like Hope Mills would be looking at 8, 9 or 10 cent tax increase. Spring Lake would be looking at a 7 cent tax increase. If it goes the other way with per capita, the County would be looking at a minimum of 3 cent increase and that is just too much to put on all the citizens. That is too much in one year and the three year program that we are talking about right now gives you time to plan and gives us time to let our staffs do what we pay them to do which is to talk and come to a solution that we fell is best for everybody and something that could work. He stated he is not a fan of ad valorem and does not believe it is the right way to go. He does not want this to be a confrontation of chest pumping that says hey we are going to do this and we don't think you have the guts to do this and in response says well then we're going to show you what we can do. It is not good for our citizens and it is not good for our Boards and it is certainly not good for Cumberland County or any of our municipalities. He stated he comes to the Coalition with what he believes is a realistic solution for planning to allow our staffs to get together and move forward and come to an agreement. Mayor Chavonne said we will do this if you will do this and that is not what we are asking you to do. You should not ask future leaders to do something that very well could be wrong. As Mayor Chavonne said, none of us were around when the involuntary annexation came about, but the fact is things change. It has been going for nine years and I do not see the harm in possibly going for another three years with the active participation of our staffs and our councils to move together to find a

resolution.

Mayor Aekins clarified a statement made by Mayor Warner about there being no discussions of this plan 8 years ago. He stated he knows for a fact there were several meetings in Fayetteville to discuss the current agreement and a final copy of the agreement was signed by every Mayor in the County.

Mr. Voorhees said his intent of proposing the one year extension was to open the lines of communication. We are all citizens of Cumberland County and the deal that was made years ago harms some disproportionally. Some of the past leaders made some decisions that were not the best way to grow this community and we will have to pay for that for years to come because of bad planning and bad investments. We need to get to the place where we can set a new bar that will be fair to everyone and get past the annexation issues. There will not be any big bangs going forward and we need to base the tax distributions on that fact. Whatever we do, we need to do something that forces the people to get together and find a solution that doesn't hurt the County. There is something to be achieved that will be to our best interest. I hope we can figure out a way to get the negotiations started.

Mayor Horne agreed we cannot obligate the County to anything for the future.

Mayor Rey said he understands the current contract needs to end. Some want to do one year and others want to do three years. He inquired if the rate is being negotiated and stated he would like to see something that steps us gradually to where we want to be. Mayor Chavonne suggested the agreement amortized and decrease by 2.5% each year until it gradually phases out. This would be something we could propose to the County for consideration. This way it is a gradual thing and everyone has time each year to plan for the change. He also stated the process should always be done with everyone at the table.

Mr. Voorhees expressed concern that this will not be enough to help Eastover.

Sara Piland from Eastover said their Charter mandates the 100% only until June 2013 when it will expire.

Mr. Burns gave an example of property in Spring Lake that was vacant property given to the Town by Fort Bragg. Spring Lake developed the property and put infrastructure in and the County profits from that work that was done by the municipality. His concern is that circumstances like this are not considered and when municipalities made improvements, especially to vacant property, the County gains from that.

Commissioner Keefe believes Eastover can be released from the current restrictions and any agreement would apply to future annexations.

By general consensus of the Coalition, all municipalities agreed to the proposed amortized agreement that would decrease the amount required to be redistributed by 2.5% each year for five 4-year terms which equates to 20 years. At the end of the 20 years, the agreement will terminate. They also agreed the agreement could be negotiated at the end of each term if all parties agreed to do so. Eastover's current agreement will sunset and only future annexations will apply. The Coalition asked Fayetteville to draft an Interlocal Agreement for

Mayor's Cualition Almutes Murch 20, 2013, Page 5 of 5

each municipality to sign.

It was clarified that each individual municipality could sign the agreement and if the County accepts the agreement, one agreement with all signatures would be created.

Commissioner Keefe advised the County meets on April 1st and April 15th. He stated they must make a decision in April.

Adjournment

Action: There being no further business to come before the Coalition, the meeting was adjourned at 11:22 a.m.

Minutes respectfully submitted by Rhonda Webb.

Chris V. Rey Mayor, Town of Spring Lake Mayor's Coalition Chair

ATTEST:

Rhonda D. Webb, MMC Town Clerk, Town of Spring Lake Mayor's Coalition Secretary

GENERAL FUND SUMMARY OF OBLIGATIONS

i				FY2013	5.3年7世		%	ta, Agra	TO ST SAND		FY2012	M Se Na		%
GENERAL FUND	Jul-Dec	Jan	Actual Feb	Mar	Total	Budget	% Obligated	Jul-Dec	Jan	Actual Feb	Mar	Total	Budget	07.0
								F:						
	CALLEY III.		653 H23		W-12-5 LB	12 10 1			THE STATE OF					
General Administration	8,387,424	991,658	957,058	- 1	10,336,140	16,118,227	64.13%	7,601,816	872,761	1,084,630		9,559,207	15,304,254	62.46%
Buildings & Grounds	3,853,977	239,853	239,188		4,333,018	6,085,548	71.20%	3,775,229	246,699	232,444		4,254,372	5,918,250	71.89%
General Government Debt Service	42 800 000	(46 902)	2 027 475		47.040.000	25.316.493	70.35%	42 472 246	0	5.830.960		10 202 206	26.058.610	74.08%
General Government Other	13,890,220 4,791,137	(16,893) 2,291,774	3,937,475 26,366		17,810,802 7,109,277	13,978,932	50.86%	13,472,246 5,431,734	329,757	500,021		19,303,206 6,261,512	11,372,845	55.06%
Total General Government	18,681,357	2,274,881	3,963,841	0	24,920,079	39,295,425	63.42%	18,903,980	329,757	6,330,981	0	25,564,718	37,431,455	68.30%
Law Enforcement	The state of the last of the state of the st	1.02.000-200-203	0.000.000.000.000		en in a contract to the contract of	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	547 a 1741 al 2000 con 2000 a	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	resource of the same of		ACT OF THE PARTY O	**************************************	
Sheriff	13,854,478	2,102,662	1,924,789		17,881,929	26,777,083	66.78%	14,030,625	2,097,545	1,953,846		18,082,016	26,986,450	67.00%
Jail	6,755,485	1,043,620	841,855		8,640,960	13,224,584	65.34%	6,161,378	970,278	836,352		7,968,008	11,921,889	66.84%
Total Law Enforcement	20,609,963	3,146,282	2,766,644	0	26,522,889	40,001,667	66.30%	20,192,003	3,067,823	2,790,198	0	26,050,024	38,908,339	66.95%
Public Safety	3,447,386	628,143	456,241		4,531,770	7,406,468	61.19%	3,493,530	513,977	420,481		4,427,988	8,258,024	53.62%
Health Mental Health Clinic	1 650 514	101 220	187,009		2 049 742	2 425 600	58.93%							
Health All Other	1,650,514 11,000,507	181,220 975,841	1,120,618		2,018,743 13,096,966	3,425,609 19.805.887	66.13%	9.389.611	1,071,020	1,199,872		11,660,503	18,412,784	63.33%
Total Health Department	12,651,021	1,157,061	1,307,627	0	15,115,709	23,231,496	65.07%	9,389,611	1,071,020	1,199,872	0	11,660,503	18,412,784	63.33%
Mental Health														
Mental Health Dept (LME)	8,357,471	1,189,253	381,402		9,928,126	11,602,645	85.57%	10000						
MCO	19,947	284,708	764,036		1,068,691	2,282,691	46.82%							
Mental Health Other (County)	0	32,162	1,195,932		1,228,094	1,562,392	78.60%							
Social Services	Artificial Production Assertion	5/03/5/5/5/5/5/5/5/5	2007532542773004780		107.00004.2534000.004.446	1011/12/1011/15/1011/17/1011/101	ACTURAL TESTACON	NO	11 (1200) (1200) (1200)	00.000000000000000000000000000000000000		274742247 104247		170707227044
Social Services	17,349,631	2,610,531	2,617,100		22,577,262	37,227,537	60.65%	18,234,677	2,682,833	2,856,944		23,774,454	36,738,553	64.71% 63.07%
Other DSS Programs Total Social Services	9,941,452 27,291,083	2,035,824 4,646,355	2,102,652 4,719,752	0	14,079,928 36,657,190	27,581,854 64,809,391	51.05% 56.56%	12,230,625 30,465,302	2,232,185 4,915,018	2,292,074 5,149,018	0	16,754,884 40,529,338	26,565,724 63,304,277	64.02%
Total Goolal Gervices	27,201,000	4,040,000	4,715,752	۱	50,057,150	04,005,551	30.3070	30,400,302	4,515,516	3,143,010	Ü	40,020,000	00,004,277	04.0270
Human Services	107102301030	A2A25260276020			Managarana (310000000000000000000000000000000000000	1000000000	HENESESSESSES	NEW EXECUTE.	70000000000	1		12-202-202-202	200000
Child Support Enforcement	2,185,336	293,313	292,153	1	2,770,802	4,393,724	63.06%	2,026,194	263,913	282,640		2,572,747	3,806,288	67.59%
Other HS Programs Total Human Services	179,555 2,364,891	26,674 319,987	26,825 318,978	0	233,054 3,003,856	355,392 4,749,116	65.58% 63.25%	166,543 2,192,737	24,214 288,127	25,873 308,513	0	216,630 2,789,377	326,489 4,132,777	66.35% 67.49%
Library	2. 2.							0.00						
Library	5,451,305	633,413	780,471		6,865,189	10,314,723	66.56%	5,212,034	659,509	677,184		6,548,727	9,751,519	67.16%
Library Other	183,531	10,063	18,113		211,707	439,400	48.18%	333,473	31,421	12,194		377,088	600,187	62.83%
Total Library	5,634,836	643,476	798,584	0	7,076,896	10,754,123	65.81%	5,545,507	690,930	689,378	0	6,925,815	10,351,706	66.91%
Culture & Recreation	333,380	25,842	28		359,250	448,212	80.15%	345,149	23,912	0		369,061	452,267	81.60%
Economic Development	3,361,053	621,815	378,955		4,361,823	7,755,558	56.24%	2,830,482	992,163	513,699		4,336,344	7,790,824	55.66%
Subtotal	114,973,842	15,884,605	16,288,298	0	148,215,436	236,102,959	62.78%	104,735,346	13,012,187	18,719,214	0	136,466,747	210,264,957	64.90%
Education														
County School Current Exp	38,110,338	6,351,723	6,351,723		50,813,784	76,220,676	66.67%	38,110,338	6,351,723	6,351,723		50,813,784	76,220,676	66.67%
Goodyear Incentive	0	258,604	0		258,604	278,012	0.00%	0	260,719	0		260,719	0	0.00%
Sales Tax Equalization	0	702.000	700 000		0 400 00:	775,000	0.00%	0	702.000	702.000		0 000004	640,850	0.00%
FTCC Current Expense FTCC PEG	4,581,648	763,608	763,608	7	6,108,864	9,163,305	66.67% 0.00%	4,581,648 0	763,608	763,608		6,108,864	9,163,305	66.67% 0.00%
FTCC Capital Outlay	498,240	17,238	11,227		526,705	4,647,831	11.33%	0	0	0		0	4,089,137	0.00%
Total Education	43,190,226	7,391,173	7,126,558	0	57,707,957	91,084,824	63.36%	42,691,986	7,376,050	7,115,331	0	57,183,367	90,113,968	63.46%
Total General Fund	158,164,068	23,275,778	23,414,856	0	205,923,393	327,187,783	62.94%	147,427,332	20,388,237	25,834,545	0	193,650,114	300,378,925	64.47%

CUMBERLAND COUNTY REVENUE SUMMARY

		-		FY2013							FY2012			
			Actual	F12013			%			Actual	F12012			%
GENERAL FUND	Jul-Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Total	Budget	Recognized	Jul-Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Total	Budget	Recognized
Category 10: Ad Valorem Tax										=				A 10 2 2 2 4 1 1 1
Real, Personal, Public - Current	114,670,511	23,920,295	3,260,876		141,851,682	144,802,240	97.96%	110,101,949	25,017,924	3,231,243		138,351,116	141,167,972	98.00%
Motor Velicles - Current	5,744,717	1,058,651	1,184,730		7,988,098	11,572,666	69.03%	5,377,424	1,106,999	1,129,157		7,613,580	10,982,971	69.32%
Prior Years & Oher	3,333,695	390,709	534,808		4,259,212	5,121,372	83.17%	3,210,789	414,708	540,239		4,165,736	5,214,595	79.89%
Total	123,748,923	25,369,655	4,980,414	0	154,098,992	161,496,278	95.42%	118,690,162	26,539,631	4,900,639	0	150,130,432	157,365,538	95.40%
Category 20: Other Taxes Sales Tax (1¢ + Art 40 + 42+ 44+ 46)	9,743,500	2,686,103	3,109,908		15,539,511	36,554,775	42.51%	8,413,274	2,863,546	3,059,130		14,335,950	34,833,928	41.16%
Beer & Wine	9,743,300	2,666,103	3,109,900		10,000,011	350,202	0.00%	0,413,274	2,003,540	3,039,130		0	285,240	0.00%
Sales Tax Video & Telecommunications	298,662	ا ا	0		298,662	617,700	48.35%	347,292	0	0	100	347,292	543,358	63.92%
Other Taxes	674,309	100,161	85,287		859,757	1,291,550	66.57%	553,202	125,676	83,121		761,999	1,186,742	64.21%
Total	10,716,471	2,786,264	3,195,195	0	16,697,930	38,814,227	43.02%	9,313,768	2,989,222	3,142,251	0	15,445,241	36,849,268	41.91%
Category 30: Unrestricted Intergovernmenta		220 522	0		410.042	705 05C	52.82%	179,582	217,946	0		397,528	708,784	56,09%
ABC Store 3.5% ABC Store Profit	189,420 360,047	230,522 409,137	0		419,942 769,184	795,056 1,113,192	69.10%	291,825	264,771	0		556,596	1,248,516	44.58%
Fay Sales Tax Equalization-Original	300,047	518,626	0		518,626	1,903,317	27.25%	251,025	463,029	o		463,029	1,883,976	24.58%
Fay Sales Tax Equalization	o o	1,060,436	0		1,060,436	4,020,973	26.37%	0	977,948	0		977,948	3,392,136	28.83%
Wade Sales Tax Equalization	0	250	0		250	916	27.24%	0	223	0		223	907	24.59%
Eastover Sales Tax Equalization	0	0	42,853		42,853	157,269	27.25%	0	38,260	0		38,260	155,670	24.58%
Stedman Sales Tax Equalization Spring Lake Sales Tax Equalization	0	34 0	0		34	125 288,037	27.20% 0.00%	0	30	0		70,072	124 360,926	24.19% 19.41%
Godwin Sales Tax Equalization	0	١ ٥١	0		0	1,989	0.00%	0	70,072 484	0		484	1,968	24.59%
Other	626,396	333,537	40,303		1,000,236	1,726,472	57.94%	642,434	319,457	252,868		1,214,759	1,884,228	64.47%
Total	1,175,863	2,552,542	83,156	0		10,007,346	38.09%	1,113,841	2,352,220	252,868	0	3,718,929	9,637,235	38.59%
Category 40: Restricted Intergovernmental			Same								8 3			
Health	2,235,003	565,280	294,785		3,095,068	5,914,157	52.33%	2,050,189	598,682	528,454	distance of the second	3,177,325	5,224,262	60.82%
Mental Health Social Services	6,007,591 13,157,637	1,340,181 3,132,011	411,355 5,757,489		7,759,127 22,047,136	7,777,833 43,801,966	99.76% 50.33%	16,926,137	4,365,486	3,370,599		24,662,222	41,490,004	59.44%
Library	341,377	42,512	49,579		433,468	593,461	73.04%	301,030	142,505	32,886		476,421	756,535	62.97%
Child Support Enforcement	1,124,944	270,167	591,013		1,986,123	3,141,266	63.23%	1,095,320	418,603	276,222		1,790,145	2,934,789	61.00%
Other	1,004,422	166,292	240,803		1,411,517	3,218,717	43.85%	850,762	208,507	132,450		1,191,719	3,812,036	31.26%
Total	23,870,973	5,516,443	7,345,022	0	36,732,439	64,447,400	57.00%	21,223,438	5,733,783	4,340,611	0	31,297,832	54,217,626	57.73%
Category 50: Licenses & Permits	900 453	143,569	150.007		1 100 200	4 040 076	72.58%	864,749	106,037	147,495		1,118,281	1,510,583	74.03%
Register of Deeds Inspections	896,452 316,073	74,993	152,367 82,092		1,192,388 473,158	1,642,875 449,000	105.38%	369,288	54,580	62,540		486,408	449,000	108.33%
Other	25.663	0	02,032		25,663	158,888	16.15%	1.054	40,449	269		41,772	122,426	34.12%
Total	1,238,188	74,993	234,459	0	1,691,209	2,250,763	75.14%	1,235,091	201,066	210,304	0	1,646,461	2,082,009	79.08%
Category 60: Sales & Service	- Indiana	THE OWNER OF THE OWNER.		7/1/1						CHARLES OF STREET	THE WAR			
Animal Control	122,174	20,909	20,764		163,847	180,253 3,107,892	90.90% 74.27%	99,141	16,001	19,013		134,155	92,000	145.82%
Mental Health Health Department	2,307,704 2,795,293	545 334,796	358,267		2,308,249 3,488,356	3,950,882	88.29%	2,063,268	161,128	466,233		2,690,629	3,740,749	71.93%
Mental Health Clinic	188.627	20.138	4,777		213.541	3.425.609	6.23%	2,000,200	101,120	400,200		2,000,020	0,740,740	71.0070
Library Fees	150,665	25,209	26,701		202,575	345,150	58.69%	150,810	29,314	34,779		214,903	270,150	79.55%
Sheriff Fees	1,447,228	235,063	826,693	1.	2,508,984	2,797,917	89.67%	1,387,994	137,747	476,571		2,002,312	2,765,116	72.41%
Social Services Fees Other	29,332	5,805	17,622 44.957		52,759	120,376	43.83% 46.15%	865,388	217,199	50,087 133,489		1,132,674	1,190,639 1,393,787	95.13% 34.22%
Other	292,969 7,333,993	146,241 788,705	1,299,780	0	484,167 9,422,478	1,049,122 14,977,201	46.15% 62.91%	217,126 4,783,727	126,308 687,697	1,180,172	0	476,923 6,651,597	9,452,441	70.37%
Category 70: Miscellaneous	7,000,000	700,700	1,233,700		5,422,470	14,577,201	02.5176	4,700,727	007,007	1,100,172		0,001,001	3,432,441	10.0176
Interest Income	61,949	27,692	15,397		105,038	125,000	84.03%	80,266	20,203	5,924		106,393	163,870	64.93%
CFVMC	3,615,271	0	0		3,615,271	3,248,440	111.29%	3,248,440	0	0		3,248,440	3,451,897	94.11%
Rent	92,463	36,302	14,812		143,577	159,498	90.02%	288,175	74,117	59,730		422,022	714,995	59.02%
Other Total	343,954 4,113,637	43,035 107,029	121,790 151,999	0	508,779 4,372,665	590,331 4,123,269	86.19% 106.05%	210,721 3,827,602	51,432 145,752	57,394 123,048	0	319,547 4,096,402	312,424 4,643,186	102,28% 88.22%
Total	4,113,637	107,029	151,555	ľ	4,372,665	4,123,265	100.05%	3,027,002	145,752	123,040		4,030,402	4,043,100	00.2270
Subtotal Category 10-70	172,198,048	37,195,631	17,290,025	0	226,827,273	296,116,484	76.60%	160,187,629	38,649,372	14,149,893	0	212,986,893	274,247,303	77.66%
Category 90: Other Financing Sources			- Swall	AND GEVEN	100000000	وراعتيا كيستعيد	EIN E					2000000		- melanan
Sale of Land & Buildings	34,405	0	8,693		43,098	0	0.00%	52,805	0	(499)		52,306	0	0.00%
Gain/Loss Sale of Fixed Assets/Cash Proceeds	96,440	0	0		96,440	79,033	0.00% 122.02%	99,939	0	0		99,939	0	0.00%
Transfers	4,846,725	26,502	0		4,873,227	8,470,448	57.53%	4,951,095	21,598	0		4,972,693	9,004,232	55.23%
Installment /Purchase Revenue	3,198,130	0	o		3,198,130	3,198,130	0.00%	0	0	ő		0	3,500,000	0.00%
Fund Balance - Former Health	0	0	0		0	0	0.00%	0	0	0		0	0	0.00%
Fund Balance - Communications	0	0	0		0	1,203,825	0.00%	0	0	0	l	0	1,231,825	0.00%
Fund Balance Maintenance/Renovations	0	0	0		0	175,648	0.00%	0	0	0		0	643,350	0.00%
Fund Balance - Health Fund Balance - Special	0	0	0		0	538,477 2,501,674	0.00%	0	0	0	1	0	501,876	0.00%
Fund Balance - Special Fund Balance - Mental Health Transfer	0	0	0		0	1,798,216	0.00%	0	0	0		0	0	0.00%
Fund Balance -Economic Incentives	ŏ	o o	ő		ő	666,815	0.00%	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	1	ŏ	ŏ	0.00%
Fund Balance - Water & Sewer	0	0	0		o o	931,352	0.00%	0	0	0	l	0	500,000	0.00%
Fund Balance Appropriated	0	0	0		0	11,383,971	0.00%	0	0	0		0	10,750,339	0.00%
Total	8,175,700	26,502	8,693	0	8,210,895	30,947,589		5,103,839	21,598	(499)	0	5,124,938	26,131,622	
Total General Fund	180,373,748	37,222,133	17,298,718		235,038,168	327,064,073		165,291,468	38,670,970	14,149,394	0	218,111,831	300,378,925	
Total Gelieral Fullu	100,010,140	07,222,100	11,200,110		200,000,100	027,004,073		100,201,400	00,010,010	17,170,004		210,111,001	200,010,020	

CROWN CENTER FINANCIAL SUMMARY

37	SIVE STORY		Actual	FY2013	Sam H		%	See Mil		Actual	FY2012		2840 (81	%
	Jul-Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Total	Budget	Recognized	Jul-Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Total	Budget	Recognized
Operating Revenues		VALUE OF		SEPTEMBER	SALES HO						32,882,854	HE REST !		Sam Sing
Crown Center Building Rent Crown Center Equipment Rent Crown Center Recovered Expense	132,833 63,250 106,811	120,509 28,438 194,628	55,658 24,648 44,615		309,000 116,336 346,054	620,000 215,000 500,000	49.84% 54.11% 69.21%	159,682 95,225 154,255	85,825 10,179 60,767	71,460 10,938 122,982		316,967 116,342 338,004	620,000 212,000 475,000	51.12% 54.88% 71.16%
Crown Center Facility Surcharge Crown Center Miscellaneous Crown Center Ticket Rebates	69,450 3,533 15,907	70,411 1,124 12,548	52,230 3,377 7,353		192,091 8,034 35,808	310,000 7,500 90,000	61.96% 107.12% 39.79%	75,241 1,796 7,934	62,409 515 7,474	38,513 (294) 0		176,163 2,017 15,408	330,000 10,000 45,000	53.38% 20.17% 34.24%
Crown Center Box Office Fees Crown Center Concessions Crown Center Marketing Revenue Total Operating Revenues	1,114 63,136 52,151 508,183	158 143,070 21,746 592,632	659 39,117 18,093 245,750	0	1,931 245,323 91,990 1,346,565	12,000 525,000 210,000 2,489,500	16.09% 46.73% 43.80% 54.09%	1,887 101,301 76,967 674,289	1,735 84,988 17,125 331,017	2,280 0 1,675 247,554	0	5,902 186,289 95,767 1,252,860	10,000 525,000 210,000 2,437,000	59.02% 35.48% 45.60% 51.41%
Constitute of the control of the con	500,103	592,632	245,750	U	1,340,505	2,469,500	54.09%	674,209	331,017	247,554	U	1,252,660	2,437,000	51.41%
Operating Expenses														
Salaries Benefits Operating	914,015 279,541	139,444 58,257	139,734 44,407		1,193,192 382,205	1,894,981 809,529	62.97% 47.21%	802,136 254,631	134,438 55,717	137,247 45,209		1,073,821 355,557	1,843,711 589,584	58.24% 60.31%
Sponsored Events Contracted Services Maintenance & Repair	0 337,980 245,952	96,440 24,492	74,338 18,980		0 508,758 289,424	400,000 942,000 812,799	0.00% 54.01% 35.61%	67,500 395,210 199,348	658 95,616 17,306	0 145,373 20,633		68,158 636,199 237,287	400,000 942,000 863,908	17.04% 67.54% 27.47%
Utilities Other Capital Outlay	364,774 456,479 0	100,227 30,895 0	79,115 28,341 22,480		544,116 515,715 22,480	845,000 710,572 420,000	64.39% 72.58% 5.35%	325,004 372,060 4,237	99,529 40,074 0	71,114 47,442 0		495,647 459,576 4,237	870,000 728,017 23,237	56.97% 63.13% 18.23%
Total Operating Expenses	2,598,740	449,755	407,395	0	3,455,890	6,834,881	50.56%	2,420,126	443,338	467,018	0	3,330,482	6,260,457	53.20%
Operating Rev over Operating Exp	(2,090,557)	142,877	(161,645)	0	(2,109,325)	(4,345,381)	48.54%	(1,745,837)	(112,321)	(219,464)	0	(2,077,622)	(3,823,457)	54.34%

Interest Earned on Investments Sales Tax Contractors	100 335	27	24	- 1	151	0	0.00%	40	4	4	18	48	0	0.00
Transfers In	335	١	0	- 1	335	0	0.00%	١	١	٥		٥	0	0.00
Fund 114 (Food & Beverage Fund)	1,675,688	279,281	279,281		2,234,251	3,351,376	66.67%	1,886,569	314,428	314,428		2,515,425	3,773,140	66.67
Fund 621 (Civic Center Motel Tax) Appropriated Fund Balance	90,500	30,167	30,167	- 1	150,833	362,000	41.67% 0.00%	0	0	0		0	125.005	0.00
Total Non-Operating and Fund Bal	1,766,623	309,475	309,472	0	2,385,570	687,198 4.400,574	54.21%	1,886,609	314,432	314,432	0	2,515,473	135,985 3,909,125	64.35
	1,1 00,020	555,475	000,472		2,000,070	4,100,014	04.2170	1,000,000	014,402	014,402	• 1	2,010,470	0,000,120	0.4.0
operating Expenses				HARDEN BURN										
Arena/Theater	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%	10,000	0	0		10,000	30,475	0.0
Transfer Out- Fund 101 (General Fund)	27,597	4,599	4,599		36,795	55,193	66.67%	27,595	4,599	4,599		36,793	55,193	66.6
Total Nonoperating Expenses		4,599	4,599	0	36,795	55,193	66.67%	37,595	4,599	4,599	0	46,793	85,668	54.6
Non-Oper Rev over Non-Oper Exp	1,766,623	304,876	304,873	0	2,348,775	4,345,381		1,849,014	309,833	309,833	0	2,468,680	3,823,457	

JIMMY KEEFE Chairman

JEANNETTE M. COUNCIL Vice Chairman

KENNETH S. EDGE CHARLES E. EVANS MARSHALL FAIRCLOTH BILLY R. KING EDWARD G. MELVIN



CANDICE WHITE

ITEM NO

Clerk to the Board

KELLIE BEAM Deputy Clerk

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

TO:

FINANCE COMMITTEE

FROM:

CANDICE H. WHITE, CLERK TO THE BOARD (w)

DATE:

MARCH 28, 2013

SUBJECT:

MATTERS NOT PLACED ON AGENDA DUE

LIMITATION

BACKGROUND:

The Board of Commissioners at its November 19, 2012 meeting approved a policy for placing matters on a board of commissioners' committee agenda. Section 3.7 of that policy states:

3.7 At each meeting, the clerk shall advise the committee of any matters that were not placed on that meeting's agenda due to the time limitation. The committee may vote to direct that such matters be placed on the next available agenda. If the committee does not vote to direct the placement of those matters on the next agenda, such matters shall be placed on any subsequent agenda in accordance with the terms of this policy.

In accordance with Section 3.7, please be advised that the following matters were not placed on the agenda for the April 4, 2013 meeting of the Finance Committee due to the time limitation:

- 1. Briefing by the Arts Council of Fayetteville/Cumberland County (Anna Hodges Smith, President of the Board of Trustees)
- 2. Funding to Spring Lake and Hope Mills Chambers of Commerce (James Martin, Rick Moorefield)
- 3. Report on Mental Health Clinic Services (Buck Wilson)

RECOMMENDATION/PROPOSED ACTION:

- Take action to direct that the matters indicated above be placed on the next available agenda.
- 2. Take no action.