

Charles Morris,
Chair
Town of Linden

Diane Wheatley,
Vice-Chair
Cumberland County

Jami McLaughlin,
Town of Spring Lake
Harvey Cain, Jr.,
Town of Stedman

Vacant
Wade, Falcon & Godwin



Planning & Inspections Department

MINUTES

March 19, 2019

Joel Strickland,
Acting Director

Vacant,
Deputy Director

Vikki Andrews,
Carl Manning,
Lori Epler,
Cumberland County

Stan Crumpler,
Town of Eastover

Patricia Hall,
Town of Hope Mills

Members Present

Mr. Charles Morris – Chairman
Mrs. Diane Wheatley – Vice Chair
Mr. Harvey Cain Jr.
Mr. Carl Manning
Mr. Stan Crumpler
Mrs. Jamie McLaughlin
Mrs. Lori Epler
Ms. Patricia Hall
Dr. Vikki Andrews

Members Absent

Others Present

Mr. Joel Strickland
Ms. Annie Melvin
Mr. Edward Byrne
Mrs. Laverne Howard
Mr. Rick Moorefield,
County Attorney

I. INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Ms. Hall delivered the invocation, and Mr. Cain led those present in the Pledge of Allegiance.

II. APPROVAL OF / ADJUSTMENTS TO AGENDA

Cases P18-40, P19-11, P19-13, and P19-14 would be moved to Contested Items.

Mrs. Epler made a motion seconded by Dr. Andrews to approve the adjustment to the agenda. Unanimous approval.

III. PUBLIC HEARING WITHDRAWAL / DEFERRAL

There were none.

IV. ABSTENTIONS BY BOARD MEMBERS

There were none.

V. POLICY STATEMENT REGARDING PUBLIC HEARING TIME LIMITS

Mr. Strickland read the policy statement.

VI. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 19, 2019

Ms. Hall made a motion, seconded by Mrs. McLaughlin to approve the minutes as submitted. Unanimous approval.

VII. PUBLIC HEARING CONSENT ITEMS

CONDITIONAL ZONING CASES

- A. **P19-02:** REZONING OF .47+/- ACRE FROM A1 AGRICULTURAL TO C(P) PLANNED COMMERCIAL OR TO A MORE RESTRICTIVE ZONING DISTRICT; LOCATED NORTH OF NC HWY 24, WEST OF SR 1852 (MARY MCCALL ROAD); SUBMITTED BY KEVIN A. CARROLL (OWNER). **(Applicant has modified request to C(P) Planned Commercial/CZ Conditional Zoning for a billboard)**

In Case P19-02, the Planning and Inspections Staff recommends approval of the rezoning from A1 Agricultural to C(P) Planned Commercial/CZ Conditional Zoning for a billboard subject to the conditions within our packet and find: a) The approval is an amendment to the adopted current Stedman Land Use Plan (1999) map; and that the Board of Commissioners should not require any additional request or application for amendment to said map for this request; b) The following change in conditions was considered in amending the zoning ordinance (zoning map) to meet the development needs of the community: the requested district is consistent with the more recently adopted 2030 Growth Vision Plan (2009) which calls for “community growth areas” at this location and meets the location criteria for “heavy commercial” as defined in the Land Use Policies Plan (2009) particularly because of the recent NC Hwy 24 improvements; c) And, this rezoning approval is reasonable and in the public interest because the new NC Hwy 24 has controlled access and the request will have minimal traffic and noise impact to the surrounding area.

In Case P19-02, Mrs. Epler made a motion, seconded by Mr. Crumpler to approve the rezoning from A1 Agricultural to C(P) Planned Commercial/CZ Conditional Zoning for a billboard subject to the conditions within our packet and find: a) The approval is an amendment to the adopted current Stedman Land Use Plan (1999) map; and that the Board of Commissioners should not require any additional request or application for amendment to said map for this request; b) The following change in conditions was considered in amending the zoning ordinance (zoning map) to meet the development needs of the community: the requested district is consistent with the more recently adopted 2030 Growth Vision Plan (2009) which calls for “community growth areas” at this location and meets the location criteria for “heavy commercial” as defined in the Land Use Policies Plan (2009) particularly because of the recent NC Hwy 24 improvements; c) And, this rezoning approval is reasonable and in the public interest because the new NC Hwy 24 has controlled access and the request will have minimal traffic and noise impact to the surrounding area. Unanimous approval.

- B. **P19-03.** REZONING OF 1.00+/- ACRE FROM A1 AGRICULTURAL TO C(P) PLANNED COMMERCIAL OR TO A MORE RESTRICTIVE ZONING DISTRICT, LOCATED AT 8321 CLINTON ROAD, SUBMITTED BY KEVIN A. CARROLL (OWNER). **(Applicant has modified request to C(P) Planned Commercial/CZ Conditional Zoning for a billboard)**

In Case P19-03, the Planning and Inspections Staff recommends approval of the rezoning from A1 Agricultural to C(P) Planned Commercial/CZ Conditional Zoning for a billboard subject to the conditions within our packet and find: a) The approval is an amendment to the adopted current Stedman Land Use Plan (1999) map; and that the Board of Commissioners should not require any additional request or application for amendment to said map for this request; b) The following change in conditions was considered in amending the zoning ordinance (zoning map) to meet the development needs of the community: the requested district is consistent with the more recently adopted 2030 Growth Vision Plan (2009) which calls for “community growth areas” at this location and meets most of the location criteria for “heavy commercial” as defined in the Land Use Policies Plan (2009); c) And, this rezoning approval is reasonable and in the public interest because the new NC Hwy 24 has controlled access and the request will have minimal traffic and noise impact to the surrounding area.

In Case P19-03, Mrs. Epler made a motion, seconded by Mr. Crumpler to approve the rezoning from A1 Agricultural to C(P) Planned Commercial/CZ Conditional Zoning for a billboard subject to the conditions within our packet and find: a) The approval is an amendment to the adopted current Stedman Land Use Plan (1999) map; and that the Board of Commissioners should not

require any additional request or application for amendment to said map for this request; b) The following change in conditions was considered in amending the zoning ordinance (zoning map) to meet the development needs of the community: the requested district is consistent with the more recently adopted 2030 Growth Vision Plan (2009) which calls for “community growth areas” at this location and meets most of the location criteria for “heavy commercial” as defined in the Land Use Policies Plan (2009); c) And, this rezoning approval is reasonable and in the public interest because the new NC Hwy 24 has controlled access and the request will have minimal traffic and noise impact to the surrounding area. Unanimous approval.

VIII. PUBLIC HEARING CONTESTED ITEMS

TEXT AMENDMENT

- C. **P18-40:** REVISION AND AMENDMENT TO THE HOPE MILLS ZONING ORDINANCE AMENDING ARTICLE XIV SIGNS, SECTION 102A-1404. SIGNS PERMITTED IN ANY DISTRICT, SUB-SECTION A. TEMPORARY REAL ESTATE SALES SIGN, SUB-SECTION H. TEMPORARY POLITICAL SIGNS & SUB-SECTION L. SANDWICH BOARD SIGNS; SECTION 102A-1405. GENERAL SITE AND SIGN SPECIFICATIONS, SUB-SECTION C. FREESTANDING SIGN LOCATION – ALL DISTRICTS (EXCLUDING BILLBOARDS), SUB-SECTION D. MAINTENANCE AND APPEARANCE & SUB-SECTION G. UNSAFE AND UNLAWFUL SIGNS; SECTION 102A-1406. SIGNS PERMITTED BY DISTRICT, SUB-SECTION A. RESIDENTIAL AND CONSERVANCY DISTRICTS & SUB-SECTION B. PROFESSIONAL, COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL DISTRICTS; SECTION 102A-1407. BILLBOARDS (OFF-PREMISES SIGNS), SUB-SECTION A. GENERAL PROVISIONS; AND SECTION 102A-1408. SIGNS PROHIBITED. (HOPE MILLS)

Mr. Byrne presented the case information.

BACKGROUND: Proposed major changes to Hope Mills Zoning Ordinance Article XIV:

1. Pole signs are removed from this section, limiting all new proposed freestanding signs to ground signs;
2. Temporary real estate sales signs will have a maximum of 16 square feet in sign area and temporary banners will be allowed for 90 days;
3. Political signs will be allowed at polling places not designated as “one stop voting” no sooner than 5 p.m. the day before elections and must be removed within 24 hours of the close of the election;
4. Ground sign height and minimum setbacks from right-of-way will now be determined by the number of occupants on the parcel on which the sign will be installed. Maximum allowable sign area is reduced in all districts;
5. Sign maintenance and appearance standards are added to allow the removal of signs in disrepair or blocked from view by overgrown vegetation;
6. Billboards will now only be allowed within 1,500 feet from the right-of-way of freeways;
7. Signs attached to or painted on utility poles, telephone poles, trees, parking meters, bridges and overpasses are now listed under prohibited signs.

RECOMMENDATION: In Case P18-40, the Planning & Inspections staff recommends approval of the text amendment to the Hope Mills Zoning Ordinance finding it is consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan designated as the 2030 Growth Vision Plan, specifically including: *Policy Area 9: Compatible Commercial Development & Services* and *Policy Area 10: Attractive Community Appearance & Image*. The proposed amendment is also generally consistent with the Southwest Cumberland Land Use Plan (2014) in that one of the adopted goals and objectives of the plan strives to enhance community appearance and reduce sign clutter along major thoroughfares; and further find that approval of the amendment is reasonable and in the public interest because the amendment if approved, would enable the town to enforce sign regulations that are consistent with the image the town is seeking to project in their commercial areas. Additionally, this amendment was prepared and submitted by the Town of Hope Mills.

This proposed text amendment would not cause any changes to the Southwest Cumberland Land Use Plan map.

Mr. Byrne advised the board of the major changes to the ordinance.

Mrs. Epler stated that she had been contacted by the upcoming president of the local real estate community who voiced concerns about one item in the amendment, Section 102A 1404 #B which addresses temporary real estate directional signs and used Devanne Street as an example on how directional signs are used without the requirement of a permit and how this revision effects that use of directional signs and puts real estate agents in the position of having to get permits for a sign. She stated that she thought it was discriminatory and feels that every homeowner should have the same right with as little effort as possible to list their house and find a buyer for their house. A real estate agent will have to go through an extra permit process through the Town of Hope Mills in order to put up a directional sign. Mrs. Epler said that she wanted to know if this would be corrected in the amendment.

Public hearing opened

Chancer McLaughlin, Planner for the Town of Hope Mills spoke before the Board. Mr. McLaughlin stated that staff did meet with the realtor to discuss this issue. He wanted to make clear that this was not a section that was being amended. This section has been in the sign ordinance since the creation of it. We are trying to figure out ways to address the issue. The real issue is when there is an off-site directional sign and there are multiple properties there are a lot of signs. The real estate company brought a sample sign that the City of Fayetteville uses where it's just one sign at the end of an intersection that points to it. He does understand the need they are trying to find a way to make a change, he wanted the Planning Board to know that this was not a change that they made, it was always in the ordinance.

Mr. Byrne pointed out in the ordinance amendment items that had lines through it is what was being amended, items without lines through it had not been changed.

Mr. Morris asked Mr. McLaughlin if someone wanted a real estate sign they were coming in and paying for a permit and asked about how many requests they had for permits.

Mr. McLaughlin responded yes, that has always been the case. They have never had a permit requested for this kind of sign in the time that he has been there. He repeated that they were going to find a way to make it work.

Public hearing closed.

Ms. Hall said in consideration of Mrs. Epler's concern and Mr. McLaughlin's explanation, she thinks this is a rare occurrence and is sure that the Town will be cooperative as they have met numerous times and have made compromises on this sign ordinance with realtors and developers to fine tune what we have before us.

Ms. Hall made a motion, seconded by Mr. Cain to approve the Text Amendment as submitted. Unanimous approval.

CONDITIONAL ZONING CASES

- D. **P19-13.** REZONING OF 1.00+/- ACRE FROM RR RURAL RESIDENTIAL TO A1A AGRICULTURAL/CZ CONDITIONAL ZONING FOR A KENNEL OPERATION OR TO A MORE RESTRICTIVE ZONING DISTRICT; LOCATED AT 6647, 6651 & 6657 PARKTON ROAD; SUBMITTED BY JERRY L. & MARY KING (OWNERS).

Mr. Byrne presented the case information and photos.

In Case P19-13, the Planning and Inspections Staff recommends approval of the rezoning from RR Rural Residential to A1A Agricultural/CZ Conditional Zoning for a kennel operation subject to the conditions within our packet and find this recommendation to be consistent with the Southwest Cumberland Land Use Plan (2013) which calls for “farmland” at this location, the text of the plan states that A1A Agricultural is an applicable district under the “farmland” designation and further find approval of the request is reasonable and in the public interest because the use will be on a large rural parent tract and utilize buffering that will lessen any impact on the surrounding area and the district requested is in harmony with surrounding zoning and land uses.

Mrs. Epler confirmed that this case was just for rezoning.

Mr. Byrne stated that this was just for rezoning of a portion of the property.

Mr. Morris said he received a lot of calls on this and they were mostly regarding the new interchange that is going to be nearby.

Mr. Byrne indicated where the new interchange would be.

Mr. Morris said that there was no A1A in that area at all and some of the phone calls that he received wondered if this was going to be for trailers.

Mr. Byrne said that A1A is one acre where A1 is two acres.

Mr. Morris said that there was no zoning like this in the area. The area allows for A1A under the plan, it's agricultural but it's smaller lot sizes.

Mrs. Epler stated that this was a conditional use and it's being approved for particular use only.

In Case P19-13, Mrs. Epler made a motion, seconded by Mrs. Wheatley to approve the rezoning from RR Rural Residential to A1A Agricultural/CZ Conditional Zoning for a kennel operation subject to the conditions within our packet and find this recommendation to be consistent with the Southwest Cumberland Land Use Plan (2013) which calls for “farmland” at this location, the text of the plan states that A1A Agricultural is an applicable district under the “farmland” designation and further find approval of the request is reasonable and in the public interest because the use will be on a large rural parent tract and utilize buffering that will lessen any impact on the surrounding area and the district requested is in harmony with surrounding zoning and land uses. Unanimous approval.

- E. **P19-14. REZONING OF 3.70+/- ACRES FROM R6A RESIDENTIAL & C3 HEAVY COMMERCIAL TO C2(P) PLANNED SERVICE AND RETAIL DISTRICT/CZ CONDITIONAL ZONING FOR TRADES CONTRACTOR ACTIVITIES OR TO A MORE RESTRICTIVE ZONING DISTRICT, LOCATED AT 4732 US HWY 301 SOUTH; SUBMITTED BY DORIS H. BULLOCK (OWNER) & TIM EVANS ON BEHALF OF LONGLEAF PROPERTIES, LLC (AGENT)**

Mr. Byrne presented the case information and photos.

In Case P19-14, the Planning and Inspections Staff recommends approval of the rezoning from R6A Residential & C3 Heavy Commercial to C2(P) Planned Service and Retail/CZ Conditional Zoning for trade contractor activities subject to the conditions within our packet and find: a) The approval is an amendment to the adopted current Southwest Cumberland Land Use Plan (2013) map; and that the Board of Commissioners should not require any additional request or application for amendment to said map for this request; b) The following change in conditions was considered in amending the zoning ordinance (zoning map) to meet the development needs of the community: the subject property meets most of the location criteria for “light commercial” as defined in the Land Use Policies Plan (2009), already has some existing heavy commercial zoning on the parcel and would serve as a transition between the existing heavy commercial and established residential areas; c) And, this rezoning approval is reasonable and in the public interest because the district requested is in harmony

with the surrounding existing land uses and zoning and approval of this request will allow the entire subject property to be zoned the same district.

There were people present to speak in favor and in opposition.

Public hearing opened.

Mr. Tim Evans spoke in favor. Mr. Evans stated he was present representing Doris Bullock, who was ill, her grandson is present, Sam Carroll, if the Board should have questions, and Tim Seally President and partner of DSI who currently has one hundred and eighty employees and is looking to reinvest their money in this area that is quickly changing. He is present if there are any questions. Mr. Evans gave a brief history of the property stating that it has been on the market for years and several companies have tried to sell it, he's had the property and has been trying to sell it for over five years. He was contacted by Mr. Seally who expressed an interest in purchasing the property which is why they were here tonight.

Mr. Sam Carroll declined to speak.

Mr. Ted Sarbaugh spoke in opposition. Mr. Sarbaugh stated that he owns Hog Country, who borders the subject property on the southern side of it. Mr. Sarbaugh stated that the road in front of the property does not service commercial property it's not even a paved road. Mr. Sarbaugh is concerned about commercial traffic on an unpaved narrow road, he feels there is too much commercial in the area for the unpaved road to support increased commercial traffic. He says until there is a plan to widen and pave the road to Department of Transportation (DOT) standards this is not a good idea.

Ms. Hall asked if the road being discussed was a service road.

Mr. Sarbaugh said it was a service road for Highway 301.

Mr. Evans spoke in rebuttal, he stated that DOT has an office within three hundred yards of the subject property, this contractor trade throws a lot of people off by the name, but the truth of the matter is the people that rent these places are electricians or plumbers and they don't keep equipment in a building it's brought to the job sight. These strips are popping up all over this County.

Public hearing closed.

Mrs. Epler said that she realizes that the road may not be in the best condition but that is a DOT problem and doesn't feel comfortable denying this property owner the same privilege that his neighbor's have had in developing his property and getting a maximum return on his investment. Also realizes that these flex spaces have two or three vehicles that park overnight employees leave in their personal cars and come back in the morning and take their commercial and leave and go out on the job site.

In Case P19-14, Mrs. Epler made a motion, seconded by Mrs. McLaughlin to approve the rezoning from R6A Residential & C3 Heavy Commercial to C2(P) Planned Service and Retail/CZ Conditional Zoning for trade contractor activities subject to the conditions within our packet and find: a) The approval is an amendment to the adopted current Southwest Cumberland Land Use Plan (2013) map; and that the Board of Commissioners should not require any additional request or application for amendment to said map for this request; b) The following change in conditions was considered in amending the zoning ordinance (zoning map) to meet the development needs of the community: the subject property meets most of the location criteria for "light commercial" as defined in the Land Use Policies Plan (2009), already has some existing heavy commercial zoning on the parcel and would serve as a transition between the existing heavy commercial and established residential areas; c) And, this rezoning approval is reasonable and in the public interest because the district requested is in harmony

with the surrounding existing land uses and zoning and approval of this request will allow the entire subject property to be zoned the same district. Unanimous approval.

REZONING CASE

- F. **P19-11.** REZONING OF 0.59+/- ACRE FROM R10 RESIDENTIAL TO C3 HEAVY COMMERCIAL OR TO A MORE RESTRICTIVE ZONING DISTRICT; LOCATED ON NORTH SIDE OF NC HWY 24 (CLINTON ROAD), EAST OF WINDWOOD DRIVE; SUBMITTED BY CHARLES E. HORNE (OWNER).

Mr. Byrne presented the case information and photos.

In Case P19-11, the Planning and Inspections Staff recommends approval of the rezoning from R10 Residential to C3 Heavy Commercial and find: a) The approval is an amendment to the adopted current Stedman Land Use Plan (1999) map; and that the Board of Commissioners should not require any additional request or application for amendment to said map for this request; b) The following change in conditions was considered in amending the zoning ordinance (zoning map) to meet the development needs of the community: the requested district is consistent with the more recently adopted 2030 Growth Vision Plan (2009) which calls for "community growth areas" at this location and the subject property meets the location criteria for "heavy commercial" as defined in the Land Use Policies Plan (2009); c) And, this rezoning approval is reasonable and in the public interest because the district requested is in harmony with the surrounding existing land uses and zoning.

There were people present to speak in favor and in opposition.

Public hearing opened.

Mr. Billy Horne spoke in favor. Mr. Horne stated that there was a house on the subject property until Department of Transportation (DOT) widened the highway and took a good portion of the property. The property is not suitable to put a house on now and it's divided by a fence on the east end. It would make a descent addition to the C3 property that is already there and feels it would be a more practical use.

Mrs. Epler asked Mr. Horne if there was an existing business on the existing C3 property.

Mr. Horne said there was, but DOT took everything in that area.

Mr. Alonzo Mosely spoke in opposition. Mr. Mosely stated that his property was somewhat adjacent to the subject property. Mr. Mosely stated that his concerns are the type of business Mr. Horne wants to bring in, noise, loitering, and increased traffic that any potential new business could bring.

Mr. Morris told Mr. Mosely that in a straight rezoning because it's not a conditional use rezoning, the board can't even ask what type of business it's going to be. He can only put in that zoning specifically what the ordinance allows and nothing other than what it allows, and the board can't ask what it is so it doesn't affect their decision.

Mr. Horne spoke in rebuttal and said that he understands that Mr. Mosely is concerned about the traffic, but he doesn't know if his rezoning will increase the traffic on Highway 24 or not, it's a major road and there is traffic every day, always has been. Mr. Horne said the request is the most practical use for the property.

Mrs. Epler said that C3 allows things that are not conducive to residential and wondered if Mr. Horne had considered another commercial zoning that might not be so intrusive to residential areas.

Mr. Byrne stated that under Stedman's ordinance they don't have a C2 zoning, they have C1, C3, and the C(P) district. The C(P) district you have to have a minimum of two acres of land that's why we had

to suggest if going for heavy commercial to match up with the adjacent commercial which was C3.

Mrs. Epler said she understood.

Public hearing closed.

Mr. Morris asked about the buffering requirement in C3.

Mr. Byrne said that C3 doesn't have a plan review process, it's up to Inspections when they go out to inspect the site to follow the ordinance. They are still required to have some type of buffering.

Public hearing closed.

In Case P19-11, Mr. Cain made a motion, seconded by Mrs. Epler to approve the rezoning from R10 Residential to C3 Heavy Commercial and find: a) The approval is an amendment to the adopted current Stedman Land Use Plan (1999) map; and that the Board of Commissioners should not require any additional request or application for amendment to said map for this request; b) The following change in conditions was considered in amending the zoning ordinance (zoning map) to meet the development needs of the community: the requested district is consistent with the more recently adopted 2030 Growth Vision Plan (2009) which calls for "community growth areas" at this location and the subject property meets the location criteria for "heavy commercial" as defined in the Land Use Policies Plan (2009); c) And, this rezoning approval is reasonable and in the public interest because the district requested is in harmony with the surrounding existing land uses and zoning. Unanimous approval.

IX. DISCUSSION

- Chair Morris asked Mr. Moorefield about the status of the Interlocal Agreement.

Mr. Moorefield stated that he understood that the County Manger had meetings coming up with Spring Lake, Linden, and Eastover.

Chair Morris asked for clarification on the understanding that if one Town didn't sign the agreement it wouldn't be any good. He asked Mr. Moorefield if that was still his understanding.

Mr. Moorefield said he would go back and look at it because he didn't have a copy of the agreement and is not sure. He was told that the agreement was the one that was signed by every town. The only thing that was changed was in the new agreement was the selection of the Planning Director.

Mrs. McLaughlin said term limits were changed.

Mr. Moorefield said that language has not been changed if this is the agreement that has been signed by everybody.

Mrs. Epler said it was just never enforced by the municipalities.

Mr. Moorefield said this sounds a little confusing because an Interlocal Agreement has to be agreed on and entered into the minutes of every board and he hasn't seen all that.

Chair Morris asked if his Town of Linden did not sign the agreement would they have representation on the Planning Board?

Mr. Moorefield said there is an argument to made for that and right now it is a mess.

Chair Morris said that this Planning Board has been completely bypassed in the entire process, they have not been to the administrative committees, and has not been vetted by this board, has not been to any committee, was sent directly to all the towns, just to appoint Mr. Strickland was an act of congress and here we are four months in and there are no applications, no job search, basically

everything is stalled out until this agreement is signed so that this Planning Board can be fully bypassed and the manager's that appoint us to these positions.

Mr. Moorefield said that right now there is a legal argument to be made that right now there is a Planning Board agreement for the five jurisdictions that have signed.

Chair Morris said basically those that have not signed the agreement.....

Mr. Moorefield said that there are things that are just not clear right now.

Mrs. Epler said that you can't have three towns operating under the old agreement while five are operating under the new one.

Mr. Moorefield said this is a mess and it really needs to be resolved.

Chair Morris said they have already met with the County Manager and never heard anything back and had to solicit a response. After thirty days the town started asking why aren't you talking to us? Usually is not how government works.

Mrs. Wheatley asked if anyone had talked to the County Commissioners.

Chair Morris said he couldn't get Jimmy Keefe to call him back.

Mr. Moorefield said that the Commissioners approved the Interlocal Agreement.

Chair Morris said through consent, it was on the consent agenda it was not a discussion item.

Mrs. Wheatley said the County Manager will make a recommendation to the Commissioners and they will vote on the position, is that correct?

Mr. Moorefield said he thinks the County Manager just hires.

Chair Morris said he believes with some input from the chair and vice chair, but she does all the hiring.

- Dr. Andrews gave an update on the Board of Commissioners meeting and stated that it was educational, she felt that we were not represented well at that meeting. Things should be different in the future and we should do a better job of presenting our cases to the Board of Commissioners.

X. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 8:24 pm.